



Paper proposal Entry and Survival of South Africa's Agricultural Exports

28th IFAMA Symposium 2018, Buenos Aires, Argentina

Ernst Idsardi



Structure

- 1. Introduction
- 2. The literature
- 3. Some stylized facts on growth in SA's agricultural exports
- 4. Decomposition of the growth
- 5. Exploring export entry and survival
- 6. Some firm-level perspective
- 7. Inputs



Introduction

- 1. Work in progress..... (inputs) \rightarrow setting the scene
- 2. Analyses of relationships... in agricultural exports
- 3. Partners \rightarrow South African companies enter into a relationship with a foreign company
- 4. Maximum number of relations: 635 products x 274 countries = 173 990
- 5. Relationship build on the exchange of (agro-food) products for foreign currency
- 6. Entry and survival (duration) of these export relationships?
- 7. Why is this important? companies invest in exporting → cost of compliance, search cost, information cost, trade cost → guide policies, exporter support programs and industry associations to improve survival rate

The literature

- Many research on export relationships found that they are relatively short-lived, about 4-5 years on average in most studies (Besedes and Prasa, 2006; Brenton, Saborowski, and von Uexkull, 2010; Fugazza and Molina, 2011)
- Cadot and Pierola (2012): exports from low-income countries have the shortest survival rate → capacity?
- Besedes and Blyde (2010) → export survival in Latin America → sharing a common border, economic size of partners, large initial exports, a depreciated exchange rate and more developed financial institutions all positively affected survival rates.



The literature

- On a micro-level, exit, entry and survival decisions from a firm's perspective evolve around expected returns, fixed cost, sunk cost, uncertainty and the business environment
- Firm-level studies are limited → Esteve-Perez, Requena-Silvente and Pallardo-Lopez (2013) → Spain → average export duration two years → by political risk, firm productivity and distance
- Exporter's Dynamics Survey (World Bank) → most export growth comes from exporter size rather than new exporters.
- No study to date on entry and survival of exports in agro-food sector specifically: Do the same trends apply? What can we learn from a firm and policy perspective?
- Focus on SA as a large agricultural exporter → dualistic nature of sector → new entrants → limited government support



Some stylised facts on growth in SA's agricultural export



NWU

Source: Own calculations based on data from UN Comtrade (2018)

Some stylised facts on growth in SA's agricultural export

- Share in total exports around 10% between 2003 and 2017
- Dominated by fruits, vegetables and grains (51%) → food products (37%) and livestock products (11%)
- High growth levels 2003-2017: total +199%, livestock products +116%, fruits, vegetables and grains +280%, food products +153%
- Number of products (2017): 102 livestock products, fruits, 218 vegetables and grains, 157 food products
- 169 different markets



- Intensive margin:
 - 1. Increase of existing products in established markets,
 - 2. Decrease in existing products in established markets,
 - 3. Extinction of exports of products in established markets,
- Extensive margin:
 - 1. Introduction of new products in new markets,
 - 2. Introduction of new products in established markets,
 - 3. Introduction of existing products in new markets,
 - 4. Product diversification in established markets
- Most growth in exports for mature exporters generally comes from the <u>intensive margin</u>: existing products to existing markets (Brenton and Newfarmer, 2009)





Source: Own calculations based on data from WITS (2018)





Source: Own calculations based on data from WITS(2018)





Source: Own calculations based on data from WITS(2018)



- Data considerations \rightarrow data classifications and periodic amendments
- Export relationship = product-market combination
- Export value =>10 000 USD
- Analysis does not consider value of export relationships
- Entering into a relationships
- Export spells
- Sustaining exports over time \rightarrow duration of relationship





| | | | $\langle \rangle$ |
|------------------------------------|------|------|-------------------|
| | 2003 | 2017 | Growth |
| Number of products exported | 458 | 480 | 4.8% |
| Share of total agric products | 72% | 76% | |
| Number of export destinations | 173 | 169 | -2.3% |
| Share of total export destinations | 63% | 62% | |
| Number of export relations | 4825 | 4911 | 1.8% |
| | | | |



Source: Own calculations based on data from UN Comtrade (2018)



Source: Own calculations based on data from UN Comtrade (2018)





Source: Own calculations based on data from UN Comtrade (2018)





| Export spells: 2004-2017 | | | | |
|--------------------------|--------|-------|--|--|
| Number of "new" entries | Count | Share | | |
| 1 | 11 113 | 65.4% | | |
| 2 | 4 001 | 23.5% | | |
| 3 | 1 464 | 8.6% | | |
| 4 | 366 | 2.2% | | |
| 5 | 43 | 0.3% | | |
| 6 | 3 | 0.0% | | |

Source: Own calculations based on data from UN Comtrade (2018)









Source: Own calculations based on data from UN Comtrade (2018)



2007

67

4%



Source: Own calculations based on data from UN Comtrade (2018)



| | Vegetable, fruit and | |
|--------------------|----------------------|--|
| Livestock products | grain products | Food products |
| (HS01-05) | (HS06-15) | (HS16-24) |
| 8 | 10 | 27 |
| 4% | 2% | 4% |
| 5.8 | 6.1 | 6.2 |
| | (HS01-05) 8 4% | Livestock products (HS01-05) (HS06-15) 8 10 4% 2% |

Source: Own calculations based on data from UN Comtrade (2018)



Some firm-level dynamics \rightarrow EDD



Source: Own calculations based on data from the EDD, World Bank (2018)



Inputs

- Most growth from intensive margin but lots of churning in export relationships and low survival rates
- Determinants of export duration \rightarrow product dynamics or destination dynamics? Both?
- Compare sectors?
- Compare countries?
- Macro-level or firm-level? (→ data availability, confidentiality → no firm specifics available → hampers analyses of firm dynamics)
- Value not considered
- Develop policy brief \rightarrow DAFF, DTI, industry associations



Thank you!

